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Abstract

Boesenbergia pandurata and its major active ingredient, panduratin A (PAN), exhibit antibacterial, anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-obesity effects. We explored the time course of the plasma and tissue (in the major organs, gums and skin) concentrations of PAN after oral administration of a B. pandurata extract to rats. Model-dependent analysis was used to quantify the skin distribution of PAN after systemic exposure. The PAN level peaked at 1.12 ± 0.22 mg/mL after 3 h, and then biexponentially decayed with a terminal half-life of 9 h. The mean clearance (Cl/F) was 2.33 ± 0.68 L/h/kg. The PAN levels in organs were in the following order (highest first): skin, lung, heart, gum, liver, spleen, kidney, and brain. For the first time, the time course of PAN levels in plasma and organs was investigated after oral administration of a BPE. This study helps to explain the pharmacological activities of PAN in the skin and gums. The pharmacokinetic model provided data in the plasma and skin concentrations of PAN, which are of fundamental importance to evaluate its efficacy.
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1. Introduction

Boesenbergia pandurata Roxb. is a tropical plant of the Zingiberaceae family commonly referred to as fingerroot. Panduratin A (PAN; Fig. 1), a chalcone, is the major bioactive agent of B. pandurata [1] and has antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, and anti-obesity effects [2–4]. Previous studies of the anti-inflammatory effects of PAN used lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW264.7 cells [5,6]. Both B. pandurata extract (BPE) and PAN exhibited anti-periodontitis activity in LPS-treated animal models [7,8]. In 2012, BPE was approved as a health/functional food by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety of Korea (No. 2012–36), and was indicated for use as a dietary supplement and in skin moisturizers and products protecting the skin against ultraviolet (UV) light exposure [9–12].

In previous in vivo work, BPE was administered at 50 and 200 mg/kg to investigate its anti-inflammatory effects in a lipopolysaccharide-induced periodontitis and alveolar bone loss rat model [8]. BPE significantly increased the mRNA and protein expression levels of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), interleukin-1β, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, and MMP-8. BPE also exerted inhibitory effects on the expression of nuclear factor of activated T cells, cytoplasmic 1, c-Fos, and osteoclastogenesis-related enzymes, including cathepsin K and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP). BPE upregulated
osteoblastogenesis-associated markers, such as collagen type I and TRAP, and increased the ratio of osteoprotegerin to receptor activator of NF-κB ligand.

In a high-fat-induced obesity mouse model, BPE was given at 200 mg/kg for 8 weeks [13]. BPE reduced body weight in the absence of alterations in food intake and serum levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides, which might be attributed to activation of AMP-activated protein kinase signalling and regulation of the expression of lipid metabolism-related proteins.

Although the pharmacological activities of both plant extracts and PAN have been intensively studied, the pharmacokinetics of PAN in rats have been reported only by our group [14]. The mean terminal phase half-life and clearance were 3.6 h and 3.7 L/h/kg, respectively, following oral administration. Systemic exposure levels (including tissue distribution) are required when studying the pharmacodynamic actions of the active ingredients of plants.

In this work, we explored the time courses of plasma and organ (lung, heart, spleen, liver, kidney, and brain, including skin and gum) PAN levels following oral administration of BPE at 200 mg/kg in rats. The content of PAN in BPE was 8%, and the BPE dose (200 mg/kg) was equivalent to 16 mg/kg of PAN [8]; 50 and 100 mg/kg of BPE were also given to investigate the dose-dependency of systemic exposure to PAN. The deposition of PAN in the skin was the main focus. The time profiles of plasma and skin PAN concentrations were modelled using a compartmental modelling approach, which was applied to simulate PAN levels in skin and plasma after multiple doses of BPE.

2. Methods

2.1. Materials

The dried rhizomes of *B. pandurata* were collected in Jakarta, Indonesia, and identified by Dr. Nam-In Baek (Department of Oriental Medicinal Materials and Processing, Kyunghee University, Yongin, Korea). The BPE was obtained by following a standard operating procedure [8]. Briefly, dried rhizomes of *B. pandurata* (Roxb.) were ground and extracted into 95% (v/v) ethanol for 3 days at room temperature. The filtrate was evaporated and the PAN content measured. The chromatogram and mass spectra of pandurate A are shown in Supplement 1. Ethanol was obtained from Duksan Chemicals (Kyunggi-do, South Korea). Flufenamic acid and acetonitrile were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA); PAN (purity, 97.5%) was obtained from Natural Remedies Private Ltd. (Karnataka, India).

2.2. Animal study

We used 30 9-week-old male Sprague–Dawley rats (280–300 g). The animal room was maintained at a temperature of 23 ± 3 °C, with relative humidity of 50 ± 10% (10–20 air changes/h), and light intensity of 300 Lux under a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle. This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Chung-Ang University (approval no. 201900025). All animals were cared for in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

The rats were randomized to two groups (plasma and tissue groups) including 5 and 25 animals, respectively. BPE (200 mg/kg) was orally administered in corn oil (1 mL/kg). Heparinized blood
samples (100 µL) were prepared at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h after extract administration; blood was collected via the subclavian vein. After centrifugation for 10 min at 17,000 rpm, 30-µL plasma samples were stored at −70 °C prior to analysis [14]. The tissue group rats were euthanized with isoflurane, and as much blood as possible was collected from the abdominal before harvesting the organs. Heart, lungs, liver, spleen, kidney, brain, gums, and skin were collected at 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h in five subgroups of five rats each. The tissues were homogenized in a three-fold excess of phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 7.4), and an aliquot of 30-µL was analyzed.

An additional 10 rats were evenly divided into two groups to explore the dose-dependency of systemic exposure to PAN following oral administration of BPE; 50 and 100 mg/kg of BPE were given, and plasma PAN concentrations were monitored as described above.

2.3. Measurement of panduratin A in extract, plasma, and tissues by LC-MS/MS

PAN concentrations were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), as previously described [14]. PAN content in the extract was determined as follows: the extract powder was initially dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide at 1 mg/mL, filtered, and further diluted with methanol; the calibration standard curve of PAN in methanol was analysed to quantify the PAN concentration in the diluted solution.

PAN and flufenamic acid (internal standard, IS) were dissolved in methanol to concentrations of 1.0 mg/mL. Then, PAN solutions were serially diluted with methanol, and 10 µL of diluted solution was added to 90 µL of drug-free plasma and tissue homogenates to obtain calibration standard plasma samples with concentrations of 5, 10, 50, 200, 500, 1,000, and 3,000 ng/mL. Three hundred microliters of acetonitrile, including the IS (10 ng/mL), were added to the calibration standards; the mixture was then vigorously vortexed for 10 s and centrifuged for 10 min at 17,000 rpm. Five microliters of the supernatant were injected into an API 4000 LC/MS/MS system (ABSCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA). The compounds were separated on a reverse phase column (Atlantis T3; internal diameter, 50 × 2.1 mm; particle size, 3 µm; Waters, Milford, MA, USA) at 30 °C. The mobile phase was distilled water with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid and acetonitrile (4:6, v/v). Elution was performed over 5 min using an HP 1260 series pump (Agilent, Wilmington, DE, USA) at a rate of 0.2 mL/min. The turbo ion spray interface in the LC-MS/MS system was operated at 4500 V at 450 °C. The ion transitions of the precursor to the product ion were deprotonated ions [M−H]− at m/z 405.2 → 165.9 (declustering potential, −110 eV; collision energy, −36 eV) for panduratin A and 280.1 → 236.0 (declustering potential, −75 eV; collision energy, −24 eV) for flufenamic acid [14]. Quantification was performed by selective reaction monitoring of deprotonated precursor ions and related product ions using the ratio of the area under the peak for each solution. All analytical data were processed using Analyst software (ver. 1.5.2; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Ninety microliters of the IS solution was added to 30 µL of a biological sample, followed by application of the same procedure described above for the calibration standards. Recovery was calculated by comparing the mean peak areas of plasma samples (low, 30 ng/mL; intermediate, 500 ng/mL) spiked before protein precipitation to those spiked after deproteinization with acetonitrile. The matrix effect was evaluated based on the percentile of the mean peak areas of plasma samples spiked after pre-treatment with methanol.

2.4. Model-independent analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters of PAN, including the maximum concentration (Cmax) and time to Cmax (Tmax), were calculated from the time course of the plasma concentration. The elimination rate constant (k) was estimated by linear regression of the log-transformed plasma PAN concentration in the terminal phase. The trapezoidal rule was used to obtain the area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUCt). The AUCinf was calculated by adding Clast/k to AUCt, and the clearance was estimated as the dose/AUCinf. Data are represented as means with standard deviations.

2.5. Model-dependent analysis

We used a sequential approach to optimize the models of plasma and skin PAN concentrations over time; the two-compartment model included first-order elimination and absorption, and a delay term (τ) (Fig. 1 right, dotted line) [15]. In the next step, a compartment was added to model the skin PAN concentration over time. All parameters except those related to absorption (Ka and τ) were estimated.
The differential equations describing the changes in PAN level in each compartment are as follows:

\[
\frac{dx_1}{dt} = K_a \cdot x_2 - (K_{el} + K_{cp} + K_{cs}) \cdot x_1 + K_{pc} \cdot x_3 + K_{sc} \cdot x_4
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -K_a \cdot x_2
\]

(2)

\[
\frac{dx_3}{dt} = K_{cp} \cdot x_1 - K_{pc} \cdot x_3
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{dx_4}{dt} = K_{cs} \cdot x_1 - K_{sc} \cdot x_4
\]

(4)

Equations (1)–(4) were solved numerically, and fitted to the data via maximum likelihood estimation using the ADAPT 5 software package (Biomedical Simulations Resource, Los Angeles, CA, USA) and the following error model:

\[
\tilde{C}(t_i) = C(t_i) + \epsilon_i
\]

(5)

\[
\text{var}[\epsilon_i(t)] = (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot C(t_i))^2
\]

(6)

where \(C(t)\) is the measured concentration, and \(\tilde{C}(t) = x_1(t)/V_c\) and \(\tilde{C}(t) = x_4(t)/V_s\) are the model predictions of the plasma and skin PAN concentrations, respectively.

The goodness-of-fit of the model was initially evaluated by visual inspection of the overlap between the measured concentrations and predicted values. Several models were compared using the likelihood ratio test [16]. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant. The simplest model that adequately explained the data was selected; this model followed the parsimony principle. The goodness-of-fit and quality of the parameter estimates were evaluated based on the coefficients of variation (CVs) of the estimates, the parameter correlation matrix, the sum of squares of the residuals, and visual examination of the residual distributions. In the first step, individual datasets were independently fitted, and mean and variance data were obtained for each pharmacokinetic parameter.

3. Results

3.1. Measurement of panduratin A in extract, plasma, and tissues

There was no endogenous interference in the elution times of PAN or IS in tissue homogenates. The mean calibration curve of PAN in plasma was 

\[ y = 0.030x + 0.342 \quad (r^2, 0.998); \]

a good linearity was also represented in all tissues, and the representative mean calibration curve in the liver was 

\[ y = 0.034x + 0.511 \quad (r^2, 0.999). \]

The mean recovery and matrix effect using the present protein precipitation method with acetonitrile were 86 ± 5% and 85 ± 6% for plasma, and 89 ± 4% and 82 ± 7% for the liver, respectively.

3.2. Time course of plasma and organ PAN levels following oral administration of a BPE

The time course of the plasma PAN concentration is shown in Fig. 2A. PAN was absorbed relatively slowly, with the highest plasma concentration (1.12 ± 0.22 μg/mL) seen 3 h after administration. The concentration rapidly decreased to 380 ± 78 ng/mL by 6 h, and decayed more gradually thereafter (terminal half-life = 8.5 ± 1.3 h). The mean AUC\(_{\text{inf}}\) and clearance (Cl/F) were 7.84 ± 1.54 μg h/mL and 2.33 ± 0.68 L/h/kg, respectively.
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**Fig. 2.** Panel A: time course of plasma PAN concentrations after oral administration of 200 mg/kg BPE (16 mg/kg as panduratin A) to rats (mean ± s.d., n = 5); the insert is a semi-logarithmic graph. Panel B: AUC\(_{\text{inf}}\) (area under the plasma PAN concentration–time curve) vs. dose of BPE (mean ± s.d., n = 5); the dotted line indicates the result of regression.
The AUCinf of PAN vs. the dose of BPE is shown in Fig. 2B. The systemic exposure to PAN was proportional to the dose of BPE in the range of 50–200 mg/kg, with good linearity ($r^2$, 0.997). The terminal half-lives of PAN at 50 and 100 mg/kg BPE were $8.2 \pm 1.8$ and $9.2 \pm 2.1$ h, respectively, indicating that there was no saturable metabolism or excretion of PAN in the dosing range of BPE.

Figure 3 illustrates the time profiles of PAN concentrations in organs (3A: lung, heart, skin, and spleen; 3B: liver, kidney, gums, and brain). The changes in lung and heart PAN levels were similar to that seen in plasma; the gum, liver, spleen, kidney, and brain levels were lower than those in the lung and heart. Interestingly, the maximum skin concentration was recorded 6 h after those of the other organs and slowly decreased thereafter. The AUCt values after 24 h were in the following order (highest first): skin, lung, heart, gums, liver, spleen, kidney, and brain (Table 1).

### 3.3. Pharmacokinetic modeling of plasma and skin PAN levels following oral administration of a BPE

The plasma PAN concentration–time profile was derived using a two-compartment model including first-order absorption and elimination. As shown in the left panel of Fig. 4, the modeled and measured values around the peak concentration were not similar when the concentration at time 0 (0 ng/mL) was included. The plasma PAN concentration increased much more slowly over the first 30 min than over the next 30 min, and there was a clear latency between PAN administration and its appearance in the circulation. Therefore, a delay term ($\tau$) was incorporated to shift the curve to the right. The delay was about 0.36 h and the model fit improved significantly ($p < 0.01$, Fig. 4, middle).

In the second step, an additional compartment was linked to the central compartment (the skin) (Fig. 1 right). All modeled parameters, except $K_a$ and $\tau$, showed a good fit with the time course of the skin PAN level (Fig. 4 right). The final model parameters and coefficients of variations are listed in Table 2.

### 4. Discussion

The pretreatment procedure for biological samples, especially tissues, should be well established before beginning a pharmacokinetic study. In this study, both plasma and tissue homogenates were mixed with a 3-fold volume of acetonitrile to precipitate endogenous substances. Recovery is an appropriate parameter to determine whether the pre-treatment is sufficient to quantify a substance. The extraction recovery of PAN in organs in this experiment ranged from 80 to 90% (data not shown). The mean recovery (89%) in liver homogenate, as a representative organ, was slightly better than that (86%) in plasma, which indicates that the clean-up procedure was sufficient. Although the matrix effect (82%) of liver homogenate was opposite to that (85%) of plasma, the difference was negligible. The endogenous substances in both plasma and organs did not affect the quantification of PAN.

In our previous study [14], the pharmacokinetic behaviour of PAN was investigated following oral administration of 5 mg/kg. The biphasic decay after

---

**Fig. 3.** Concentration–time profiles of PAN for organs after oral administration of 200 mg/kg BPE (16 mg/kg as panduratin A) to rats (mean ± s.d., $n = 5$).

**Table 1.** Areas under the PAN organ concentration–time curve values for the heart, lung, liver, spleen, kidney, brain, gums, and skin after oral administration of 200 mg/kg BPE (16 mg/kg as PAN) to rats. Values are means and standard deviations ($n = 5$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>AUC24h (µg*h/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>$14.00 \pm 2.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>$7.28 \pm 1.77$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>$5.20 \pm 1.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum</td>
<td>$4.58 \pm 1.36$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>$4.52 \pm 2.65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>$3.31 \pm 1.84$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>$2.90 \pm 0.71$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>$2.09 \pm 0.75$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the plasma peak was similar to that observed in this study. However, the terminal half-life (3.6 h) was remarkably prolonged (2.4-fold; \( p < 0.01 \)), and clearance was decreased by 37% when PAN was given as an ingredient in a BPE, probably indicating that other substances (composing >80% of the extract) may hinder PAN metabolism and/or excretion. An increase of AUC normalized to the dose (0.28–0.44 kg h/L) may also reflect this possibility.

The change of clearance seemed to be much smaller than that of half-life. Since pharmacokinetic parameters are mostly calculated from the time course of plasma concentrations, the change of clearance is mainly dependent on the schedule of blood sampling, dose, and quantification sensitivity, and the half-life can be much more variable compared to the other parameters. PAN was previously monitored for up to 12 h due to early disappearance from the systemic circulation, which seems insufficient for accurate measurement of the half-life. Therefore, clearance is more reliable than half-life when comparing pharmacokinetic changes of PAN following oral administration of PAN and BPE.

Systemic exposure to PAN increased in a dose-dependent manner after oral administration of BPE at doses of 50–200 mg/kg (Fig. 2B). We could predict the tissue distribution of PAN down to 50 mg/kg due to kinetic homogeneity. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report tissue concentrations of PAN, including in the skin and gums. Although these two organs are not usually examined, we were interested in the relationship between the PAN concentrations therein and its pharmacological activity [6–8,11]. Gum tissue samples were collected from the outer mandibular regions, and the PAN levels were similar to those in the liver samples. PAN activity against LPS-induced periodontitis is of interest [7,8], where few pharmacologically active substances have been derived from natural products. PAN may also protect against gingival inflammation.

There have been many previous reports of the skin distribution of active substances following oral administration, but fewer on the distribution in major organs such as the liver, heart, lung, and brain. Okawara et al. prepared \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin complexes of diosgenin, and assessed the reduction of skin thickness in ovariectomized mice and distribution of diosgenin in the skin [17]. The complexes improved the oral bioavailability of diosgenin by 8-fold compared to diosgenin alone, and skin exposure was enhanced by 10-fold. In a rat study of the intracellular lipids of the stratum corneum, 16% of the tritium-labelled ceramide that was absorbed orally remained in the dermis [18]. Substances reaching the skin can improve its function. In addition, exposure of the skin at the dermal interstitium to doxycycline was studied using a microdialysis technique, following daily oral administration for 14 days in healthy volunteers [19]. The AUC\(_{24\text{h}}\) values of doxycycline in the skin was 30% and 40% of that in the plasma on days 1 and 14, respectively. Considerable accumulation of doxycycline in the skin was clearly demonstrated; this accumulation may contribute to its efficacy in rosacea.

In this study, the PAN level was much higher in skin than in the major organs; for example, it was

---

**Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters of PAN in rat plasma and skin after oral administration of 200 mg/kg BPE (16 mg/kg as PAN).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>( K_a ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( K_d ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( V_c ) (mL)</th>
<th>( t ) (h)</th>
<th>( K_{cp} ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( K_{pc} ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( K_{cs} ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( K_{sc} ) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( V_s ) (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV(%)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 4. Panel A: a representative fit of PAN plasma concentration–time profile derived using a two-compartment model including first-order absorption and elimination, without (left) and with (right) of delay term, \( \tau \) (see Fig. 1, right); Panel B: Model-fit of panduratin A in skin.
about two-fold higher than the concentration in lung (the most exposed major tissue), perhaps explaining the good skin-moisturizing and UV irradiation-protective effects of PAN [10,11]. Therefore, we used model-dependent analysis to further investigate skin exposure to PAN. The quality of the model was assessed using a visual predictive check, as shown in Fig. 5 (Panel A). Monte Carlo simulation (1,000) was performed to obtain 90% confidence intervals (CIs). Few data points lay outside of the CIs, indicating that the model predictions of plasma and skin PAN were reliable.

Using the pharmacokinetic parameter data derived by varying a single dose, stochastic simulation can predict plasma levels when different doses are administered at various time points. Panel B in Fig. 5 shows the results of simulations of plasma and skin PAN levels after five BPE doses of 200 mg/kg in rats. The time course of the skin PAN level can be correlated with data on its moisturizing activity or protective action, to enhance our understanding of the relationship between PAN pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics.

In summary, to the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to explore the time course of PAN levels in rat plasma and major organs (including the gums and skin) after oral administration of BPE. The PAN level was highest in the skin, at twofold that in the lungs; the level in the gums was 33% of that in the skin. Our results help to explain the pharmacological activities of PAN in the skin and gums. The pharmacokinetic model provided quantitative data on plasma and skin PAN levels, which are of fundamental importance when evaluating the efficacy of PAN.
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